

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/727,358	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KOLESNICK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Brian Whiteman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1635	

**All Participants:**

(1) Brian Whiteman.

(2) Christine Dietzel.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 May 2007

**Time:** 3:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*102 and 103 rejections*

Claims discussed:

*7,9-15,17-20,34,35,37-50*

Prior art documents discussed:


*Bennett and Griffais, cited on PTO-892*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed amending the claims to overcome rejections of record. In view of applicant agreeing to an examiner's amendment, the advisory action is vacated and the after final amendment has been entered.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)